



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
344,350	01/29/82	Norman L. Colbry	

Stephen I. Miller
201 Tabor Road
Morris Plains, N. J. 07950

EXAMINER	
JH Turnipseed	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
122	6

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. B. A. Amernick (3) _____
(2) _____ (4) _____

Date of interview November 8, 1982

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 10 - 13

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Amernick was called by the Examiner and advised that the application would be in condition for allowance upon the entering of the amendment filed on October 28, 1982, if claims 10 and 12 are cancelled since they are not adequately supported by the disclosure and if the phrase "tumors and psoriasis" is cancelled from the Abstract of the Disclosure. Mr. Amernick gave the Examiner permission to make the changes by an Examiner's Amendment. However, on closer review of the amendment it is noted that objected to terminology is cancelled by the amendment, therefore no Examiner's amendment to the Abstract of the Disclosure is needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

James H Turnipseed
Examiner's Signature
11/8/82